Federal = nationwide

Antifederalist = weak national government:

* Believed that it did not do a good job.
* Wanted power to remain at the state level.
* Believed that the best decisions are local.
* Liked the AOC squo.
* All of the states had to agree, a key part. They did not centralise themselves into one body; and they had to fight for the Bill of Rights at every state convention.
* In favor of the Bill of Rights because if a national government exists then rights are at stake without some additional action. I was told that this Bill of Rights is also an example of compromise between Federalists and anti-Federalists.
* Lead by small and decentralized leaders, who had to rally at each individual state.

Federalist = stronger national government:

* In favor of the U.S. constitution
* Played key parts in writing it.
* The U.S. constitution does not need a bill of rights because we wrote it well enough.
* Believed that it would maintain rights while also fixing national issues.
* The Constitution is what the national government can and cannot do.
* This side does eventually win out.
* Lead by bigger leaders and centralized.

Both sides:

* Big national issues
* Like a Twitter war but over news and media (their words not mine)
* We will be having a debate soon, within the APGOV class.

Debate flow: